



## **A Survey of Sport Fishing in the Illinois Portion of Lake Michigan March through September 2010**

Brofka, Wayne A.; Czesny, Seriusz J.

INHS Technical Report 2013 (23)

Prepared for Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Division of Fisheries

Issue Date: 07/01/2013

Sponsor: Division of Fisheries, Illinois Department of Natural Resources Grant/Contract No: Federal Aid Project F-52  
R-25

Unrestricted; for immediate online release.

Prairie Research Institute, University of Illinois at Urbana Champaign  
William Shilts, Executive Director

Illinois Natural History Survey  
Brian D. Anderson, Director  
1816 South Oak Street  
Champaign, IL 61820  
217-333-6830



**A SURVEY OF SPORT FISHING IN THE ILLINOIS PORTION OF LAKE MICHIGAN**

**March through September, 2010**

**Wayne A. Brofka and Sergiusz J. Czesny**

University of Illinois  
Prairie Research Institute  
Illinois Natural History Survey

Submitted to  
Division of Fisheries, Illinois Department of Natural Resources  
in fulfillment of the reporting requirements of  
Federal Aid Project F-52-R25

---

Sergiusz J. Czesny  
Principal Investigator

---

Brian Anderson, Director  
Illinois Natural History Survey

September 2011

<p>This study is conducted under a memorandum of understanding between the Illinois Department of Natural Resources and the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois. The actual research is performed by the Illinois Natural History Survey, Prairie Research Institute. The project is supported through the Federal Aid in Sport Fish Restoration by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, the Illinois Department of Natural Resources and the Illinois Natural History Survey. The form, content, and data interpretations are the responsibility of the Illinois Natural History Survey and the University of Illinois and not the Illinois Department of Natural Resources.</p>
--

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES .....	3
LIST OF FIGURES.....	3
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....	5
ABSTRACT .....	6
INTRODUCTION.....	7
Geographic setting .....	7
METHODS .....	9
Pedestrians and launched-boat anglers.....	9
Use of primary fishing areas.....	9
Distribution of fishing .....	10
Early spring survey.....	11
Selection of dates in a stratified random sample .....	11
Data collection .....	12
Variables measured for each date.....	12
Expansion of daily estimates .....	13
Extrapolation to other areas .....	13
Moored boats .....	14
Changes in creel survey methods .....	14
Confidence intervals and bias.....	14
Yield values.....	14
Missing data .....	15
Alternate sites/altered sites .....	15
RESULTS.....	15
Pedestrian fishing .....	15
Boater fishing.....	16
Yield values.....	16
Comparisons with preceding years.....	16
Minor species .....	17
DISCUSSION .....	18
Changes in the fishery and the creel survey in 2010 .....	18
Angler effort.....	18
Yellow perch .....	18
Coho salmon .....	18
Other salmonids .....	18
Minor species .....	19
Expenditures.....	19
Early spring (March) survey.....	20
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	20
REFERENCES.....	20
APPENDIX A - COMPARISON OF CHARTER AND NON - CHARTER SALMONID BOAT FISHERY .....	35

**LIST OF TABLES**

Table 1.	Common and scientific names of fishes appearing in this creel survey .....	4
Table 2.	Distribution of pedestrian anglers and boat trailers .....	10
Table 3.	Distribution of moored non-charter power boats .....	11
Table 4.	Fishing effort and harvest .....	21
Table 5.	Fishing effort and harvest for March.....	21
Table 6.	Fishing effort and harvest by pedestrian anglers .....	22
Table 7.	Fishing effort and harvest by anglers using launched boats .....	23
Table 8.	Yield values ....	24
Table 9.	Fin clip abbreviations.....	24
Table 10.	Fin clip summary of salmonids .....	24
Table 11.	Season fishing effort and expenditures 2001 - 2010 .....	25
Table 12.	March fishing effort and expenditures 1999 – 2000, 2003 - 2010.....	26
Table 13.	Season fishing effort and harvest 2001 - 2010.....	27
Table 14.	March fishing effort and harvest 1999 – 2000, 2003 - 2010.....	28
Table 15.	Minor species harvest 2001 - 2010 .....	29
Table A1.	Non – charter boat harvest composition (boats only) 2001 – 2010.....	35
Table A2.	Charter boat harvest composition 2001 – 2010 .....	35

**LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 1.	The Illinois shoreline of Lake Michigan .....	8
Figure 2a.	Salmonid harvest per unit effort 2001 - 2010.....	30
Figure 2b.	Yellow perch harvest per unit effort 2001 - 2010.....	30
Figure 3a.	Directed angler effort for salmonids 2001 - 2010 .....	31
Figure 3b.	Directed angler effort for yellow perch 2001 - 2010.....	31
Figure 4.	Total yellow perch non-charter sport harvest 2001 - 2010.....	32
Figure 5.	Average lengths of creeled yellow perch 1986 - 2010 .....	32
Figure 6.	2010 yellow perch sport harvest per month.....	33
Figure 7.	Total non-charter coho salmon sport harvest 2001 - 2010 .....	33
Figure 8.	Average lengths of creeled coho salmon 1986 - 2010.....	34
Figure 9.	Total non-charter chinook salmon sport harvest 2001 - 2010 .....	34
Figure 10.	Average lengths of creeled chinook salmon 1986 - 2010.....	34
Figure A1..	Comparison of charter and non - charter boat harvest rates 2001 - 2010 .....	36
Figure A2..	Sport fishing harvest (charter and regular combined) 2001 - 2010 .....	36

Table 1. Common and scientific names of fishes appearing in this report of the survey of sport fishing in the Illinois portion of Lake Michigan. Only common names will be used in the following text.

Common Name	Scientific Name
Black bullhead	<i>Ameiurus melas</i>
Bluegill sunfish	<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>
Brown trout	<i>Salmo trutta</i>
Chinook salmon	<i>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</i>
Coho salmon	<i>Oncorhynchus kisutch</i>
Common carp	<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>
Freshwater drum	<i>Aplodinotus grunniens</i>
Lake trout	<i>Salvelinus namaycush</i>
Lake whitefish	<i>Coregonus clupeaformis</i>
Largemouth bass	<i>Micropterus salmoides</i>
Rainbow trout	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>
Rock bass	<i>Ambloplites rupestris</i>
Round goby	<i>Apollonia melanostoma</i>
Sea lamprey	<i>Petromyzon marinus</i>
Smallmouth bass	<i>Micropterus dolomieu</i>
Yellow perch	<i>Perca flavescens</i>

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The purpose of this study was to provide estimates of the non-charter sport fishing effort, harvest and expenditures of anglers fishing the Illinois portion of Lake Michigan. The information provided from this study is important to the management of the sport fisheries in the Illinois waters of Lake Michigan. A contact creel survey was used to collect data concerning the daily effort, harvest and expenditures on randomly selected days over a six month period (4/1 - 9/30). The data were summarized and extrapolated over the six month period to achieve estimates for specific locations as well as for the Illinois waters of the lake. The creel period was stratified by time period (segment = three week blocks) and type of day (weekday vs. weekend/holiday). Also, a March survey was conducted at selected sites along the Lake Michigan shoreline. That survey was stratified in a similar fashion as the main survey except that the segment is one month long instead of three weeks. However, all the data are now being presented in a monthly format instead of by segment. Data from the previous nine years have also been converted to the monthly format. Launched boat and moored boat data are now combined as boats.

**Conclusions:**

1. 2010 saw an increase in angler effort (3.3% compared to 2009). Pedestrian effort decreased 29.1% but boat effort (launched and moored combined) increased 35.2%.
2. The number of yellow perch harvested decreased 23.4% compared to 2009. The total harvest was over 201,900 fish. Mean length decreased to 26.0 cm (10.2 in) and mean weight decreased to 228 g (0.50 lb), a 9.0% decrease and 18.0% decrease respectively compared to 2009.
3. Coho salmon was the largest portion of the salmonid harvest in the Illinois waters of Lake Michigan and increased 74.1% compared to 2009. The total harvest was 30,900 fish. The average size coho in 2010 weighed 1,584 g (3.47 lb), and measured 54.6 cm (21.5 in) in length, a decrease of 11.5% in weight and 2.3% in length.
4. Chinook salmon harvest increased 19% compared to 2009 with a harvest of 8,200 fish. The average size chinook decreased compared to 2009 with length 67.4 cm (26.5 in) and weight 3,308 g (7.30 lb), a decrease of 4.8% and 15.5% respectively.
5. The rainbow trout harvest increased by 74.7% compared to 2009, with a harvest of nearly 3,000 fish. Rainbow trout length and weight decreased compared to 2009 with length decreasing 3.3% to 64.0 cm (25.2 in) and a decrease in weight of 12.8% to 2,490 g (5.52 lb).
6. The lake trout harvest increased by 39% compared to 2009 to nearly 1,000 fish. The average size of lake trout harvested in 2010 was shorter but heavier than those fish harvested in 2009 with an increase of 12.0% in weight to 3,502 g (7.78 lb) and a decrease in length of 1.4% to 68.5 cm (27.0 in).

7. The brown trout harvest increased by 62.8% compared to 2009 to 2,600 fish. Average length decreased by 2.8% to 55.2 cm (21.7 in) and average weight decreased by 9.5% to 2,243 g (4.91 lb).

8. Total expenditures in 2010 were \$13.8 million, 47.4% above 2009.

9. The 2010 March survey saw increases in angler effort, brown trout, rainbow trout and coho salmon harvest; and decreases in yellow perch harvest compared to 2009. Total effort was 19,549 angler hours, a 19.2% increase compared to 2009. Harvest of brown trout (1,000) and coho salmon (178) and rainbow trout (97) increased 488% for brown trout, 47.1% for coho salmon and 14.1% for rainbow trout. Yellow perch (16,928) decreased 12.4%. Lake trout (206) were also caught compared to none in 2009.

### **ABSTRACT**

A survey of sport fishing in the Illinois portion of Lake Michigan was conducted from April 1 to September 30, 2010. The survey covered all legal sport fishing during that period excluding fishing from chartered boats and smelt fishing. It included angling by pedestrians and fishing from boats. The intent of the survey was to provide reliable estimates of sport fishing activity, sport fish harvest, expenditures for sport fishing, and the quality and distribution of sport fishing. Estimated total fishing effort for pedestrians and boaters was 525,000 angler-hours. Estimated total harvest included 201,900 yellow perch, 2,600 brown trout, 3,000 rainbow trout, 1,000 lake trout, 30,900 coho salmon, and 8,200 chinook salmon. Estimated expenditures for boats, motors, trailers, fishing gear, and automobile gas were over \$13.8 million. The yield value of the sport fishing harvest was approximately \$1.55 million.

One additional special survey was conducted. From March 1 to March 31 an early season survey was conducted at Waukegan Harbor, Montrose Harbor and Calumet Park for pedestrian anglers and Waukegan Harbor and Calumet Park for launched-boat anglers. Anglers from both groups fished a total of 19,500 hours and harvested 16,900 yellow perch, 1,000 brown trout, 200 lake trout, 100 rainbow trout and 200 coho salmon. Estimated expenditures for boats, motors, trailers, fishing gear, and automobile gas were over \$1.1 million.

## INTRODUCTION

This report summarizes a survey of sport fishing in the Illinois portion of Lake Michigan from April 1 to September 30, 2010. The survey covered all types of legal sport fishing during that period, with the exceptions of charter-boat fishing and smelt fishing. In addition, a supplemental survey of the early spring fishery from March 1 to March 31 was conducted. The intent of the project was to provide reliable estimates of sport fishing activity, sport fish harvest, expenditures for sport fishing, and quality of sport fishing. Biological data concerning length, weight, sea lamprey wounding and scarring and markings (fin clips and external tags) were also collected for individual fish. Results from the first twenty-four years of this series of annual surveys were reported elsewhere and were summarized by Brofka and Czesny (2010). Prior to these reports, the most recent creel survey of this type in Illinois was conducted in 1979 by Muench (Muench 1981).

### **Geographic setting**

The geographic setting of this survey was the 63 mile Illinois shoreline of Lake Michigan (Figure 1). This area is highly developed and heavily industrialized. Chicago covers roughly one-third of the shoreline, and a series of smaller cities cover almost all of the remainder. This section of Lake Michigan lacks significant tributary streams. The slope of the near-shore lake bottom becomes progressively steeper as one moves from south to north, a geographic feature that influences the distribution and success of sport fishing. This progression means that boaters from Chicago must go considerably farther from shore to reach good salmon waters than boaters departing from North Point Marina.



Figure 1. The Illinois shoreline of Lake Michigan.



## METHODS

The following groups were considered separately: (1) Pedestrian and launched-boat anglers. These anglers were studied directly through personal interviews and direct head counts conducted between 1 April and 30 September. (2) Anglers using moored boats. The data presented here are based entirely on extrapolations from estimates for anglers using launched boats.

### **Pedestrians and launched-boat anglers**

Estimates of effort and harvest by pedestrian and launched-boat anglers were made for selected primary fishing areas, and those estimates were extrapolated to less heavily fished areas. For each primary fishing area, a modified stratified random sampling design similar to that suggested by Malvestuto (1996) was used. The fishing day was the primary sampling unit. Daily estimates of variables of interest (total harvest by species, expenditures by category, etc.) for each primary site were combined to form seasonal estimates using the formula for stratified random samples given by Cochran (1977).

### **Use of primary fishing areas**

The primary fishing areas for pedestrian anglers were North Point Marina, Waukegan Harbor, Montrose Harbor, Belmont Harbor, Jackson Park, and Calumet Park. The primary fishing areas for launched boats were North Point Marina, Waukegan Harbor, Diversey Harbor, and Calumet Park. For each day of work, a creel clerk was assigned to visit three areas, two pedestrian areas and one launch area, in a prescribed order. The three areas were always one of three groups: (1) Waukegan Harbor (pedestrians), North Point Marina (pedestrians), North Point Marina (launched boats); (2) Montrose Harbor (pedestrians), Belmont Harbor (pedestrians), Diversey Harbor (launched boats); and (3) Jackson Park (pedestrians), Calumet Park (pedestrians), Calumet Park (launched boats). The launch ramps at Waukegan Harbor were added in 2006 and were surveyed in the same manner as the launch ramp sites in the three groups. Estimates obtained for the primary fishing areas were extrapolated to all other areas based on the distribution of pedestrian anglers and boat trailers. These distributions were obtained by helicopter flights that were conducted on four weekends during the spring and summer. During each flight, pedestrian anglers were counted and recorded on a form divided by site and the type of pedestrian site: structure (piers and breakwalls), shore (shoreline) and harbor (inside enclosed harbors). Pedestrian anglers who were not at a recognized site were counted and listed in the vicinity of the closest recognized site; the sum of these became the total for "other areas" on the form. Boat trailers with a vehicle attached were counted in the parking lots of launch ramps and were listed on the form at the appropriate site. All of the data collected were combined for the season and averaged, and converted to percentages (Table 2).

## Distribution of fishing

### *Pedestrians and launched boats*

The survey recognized 24 fishing areas (Table 2). Helicopter flights in 1985-90 and 1992-2010 were used to determine the distribution of fishing. In 2010 the 24 areas accounted for 97.2% of the pedestrian anglers observed in the aerial surveys and 100% of the boat trailers parked near launch areas. Boats launched from the Calumet Yacht Club (25 to 50 launches per week in mid summer) were not included in this survey. In this survey, interviews were conducted at six pedestrian fishing areas and four launch areas. The pedestrian areas (North Point Marina, Waukegan Harbor, Montrose Harbor, Belmont Harbor, Jackson Park, and Calumet Park) accounted for 77.4% of the pedestrian anglers observed during the helicopter flights. The four launch areas (North Point Marina, Waukegan Harbor, Diversey Harbor, and Calumet Park) accounted for 76.1% of the boat trailers observed near launch areas.

Table 2. Distribution of pedestrian anglers and boat trailers along the Illinois shoreline of Lake Michigan, determined by helicopter flights in 2010.

Area	Pedestrian anglers (%)	Boat trailers (%)
1. IL Beach State Park & North Point Marina	1.3	34.5
2. Waukegan Harbor and breakwalls	7.1	22.6
3. Great Lakes Naval Training Station	0.0	0.6
4. Forest Park	0.0	1.5
5. Central Park	0.1	2.1
6. Winnetka (Lloyd and Tower Parks)	0.4	5.5
7. Wilmette Harbor	1.9	NA
8. Northwestern Univ. and Dawes Park	0.2	6.0
9. Farwell Avenue pier	0.7	NA
10. Hollywood Avenue pier	0.4	NA
11. Foster Avenue pier	0.3	NA
12. Montrose Harbor and breakwalls	55.5	NA
13. Belmont Harbor	9.0	NA
14. Diversey Harbor and breakwalls	1.0	10.2
15. North Avenue pier	0.0	NA
16. Navy Pier	0.0	NA
17. Monroe Street breakwalls	0.3	NA
18. Burnham Harbor and vicinity	13.6	7.9
19. McCormick Place seawall	0.5	NA
20. 31st Street pier	0.0	NA
21. 50th Street access area	0.0	NA
22. 59th Street Harbor	0.4	NA
23. Jackson Park Harbor and breakwall	3.4	0.3
24. Calumet Park	1.1	8.8
25. other areas	2.8	0.0

### *Moored boats*

The principal boat mooring areas are North Point Marina, Waukegan Harbor, Great Lakes Naval Training Station, Wilmette Harbor, and the Chicago Park District harbors. This survey did not include boats kept on land (lift service)

or at moorings in the Calumet or Chicago river systems. We used the number of power boats kept at moorings as an index of fishing activity from moored non-charter power boats (Table 3). Although some fishing occurs from sail boats, we assumed that it was a negligible portion of all fishing. Both private lift services, referred to as I/O service in Table 3, were included in the survey (Larsen Marine, at Waukegan Harbor and Skipper Bud's at North Point Marina).

Table 3. Mooring locations along the Illinois shoreline of Lake Michigan and numbers of non-charter power boats moored at each location, as determined by the marinas and port authorities. Total number of power boats per port in bold.

Mooring area	Number of power boats
North Point Marina	<b>1,091</b>
Public Moorings	1,021
Skipper Bud's I/O service	70
Waukegan Harbor	<b>759</b>
Public Moorings	639
Larsen Marine I/O service	120
Great Lakes Naval Training Station	<b>30</b>
Wilmette Harbor	<b>65</b>
Chicago Park District	<b>3,655</b>
Diversey	600
Burnham	810
other harbor moorings	2,245

### Early spring survey

Only two site groups were surveyed in March. The Lake County group consisted of Waukegan Harbor (pedestrians) and Waukegan Harbor (launched boats). The Chicago group consisted of Montrose Harbor (pedestrians), Calumet Park (pedestrians), and Calumet Park (launched boats). These sites included virtually all the open boat ramps and the areas of heaviest concentrations of open water pedestrian anglers this early in the season (based on personal observations and previous surveys). No attempt was made to estimate moored boat effort, harvest or expenditures in the March survey because very few boats are at moorings at that time.

### Selection of dates in a stratified random sample

The core fishing season (1 April through 30 September 2010) was stratified by segment and type of day. Each date fell within one segment and was either a week day (non holiday Monday through Friday) or a weekend day (weekends and holidays). The following 18 strata were formed:

- |                          |                             |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1. week days 4/1 - 4/18  | 2. weekend days 4/1 - 4/18  |
| 3. week days 4/19 - 5/9  | 4. weekend days 4/19 - 5/9  |
| 5. week days 5/10 - 5/30 | 6. weekend days 5/10 - 5/30 |

- |                           |                              |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| 7. week days 5/31- 6/20   | 8. weekend days 5/31- 6/20   |
| 9. week days 6/21 - 7/11  | 10. weekend days 6/21 - 7/11 |
| 11. week days 7/12 – 8/1  | 12. weekend days 7/12 – 8/1  |
| 13. week days 8/2 - 8/22  | 14. weekend days 8/2 - 8/22  |
| 15. week days 8/23 - 9/12 | 16. weekend days 8/23 - 9/12 |
| 17. week days 9/13 - 9/30 | 18. weekend days 9/13 - 9/30 |

Within each stratum, dates were selected at random with the restriction that all four groups of sites were sampled at least one week day (Monday through Friday) and each weekend. This sampling process was conducted separately for each of the three groups of three areas. Three dates were selected from each stratum except 1, 2, 17 and 18; in those strata, which were several days shorter than the others, fewer than three dates were selected for each group of areas. All three areas in each group were visited on the dates selected for that group.

The early spring survey (1 March through March 31) was treated in a similar fashion to the core survey except that the segment was one month.

- |                         |                            |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1. week days 3/1 - 3/31 | 2. weekend days 3/1 - 3/31 |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|

### **Data collection**

Data collection at pedestrian fishing areas consisted of counting all pedestrian anglers at the start and finish of a two-hour interview period and interviewing a representative sample of anglers during the two hours. At the eight primary pedestrian areas the interview period was always 0600 to 0800 or 0830 to 1030. Each interview was designed for one angling party (i.e., one or more anglers fishing together) rather than for one individual angler. By interviewing parties instead of all individuals in a party more interviews can be conducted in a given time frame, redundant information can be avoided, and annoyance to the party is minimized. At launch ramps, all trailers with vehicles attached (except personal watercraft trailers) were counted in the parking lot at the beginning and end of the sampling period (between 1100 and 1300) and a representative sample of all returning fishing parties was interviewed.

The interviewers (referred to as creel clerks) gathered information related to effort (number of angler-hours, number of angler-trips), expenditures for the present fishing trip (by category: major = boat, motor, or trailer; minor = fishing gear; other = auto gas @ 10 cents per mile), species sought, and harvest (by species). Clerks also weighed and measured fish in possession of the anglers, noted clipped fins, and noted sea lamprey wounds and scars. The data form and instructions to creel clerks are in (Brofka and Czesny, 2008).

### **Variables measured for each date**

The data collected in the interviews on one date at one area were reduced to a set of variables describing daily fishing activity: (1) Harvest per angler-hour was determined for each species as the number of fish harvested by all

parties interviewed divided by the number of hours of fishing by individuals in those parties. (2) Expenditures per angler-trip were determined in each of three categories (major, minor, and other). For all expenditures, total expenditures by all anglers interviewed were divided by the number of anglers interviewed. (3) Angler-hours (i.e., total time spent fishing by all anglers) and (4) angler-trips (i.e., total number of anglers who fished) were determined differently for pedestrians and boaters. For pedestrians, angler-hours was the average number of anglers (at start and finish of interviews) multiplied by the number of hours in the day (from 0.75 hour before sunrise to 0.75 hour after sunset), and angler-trips was angler-hours divided by the average duration of a pedestrian fishing trip (3.71 hours for all interviews with conventional pedestrian anglers from 2001 - 2010 surveys). The number of fishing boats launched for the day was estimated by multiplying the number of fishing boats landing during the two-hour interview period by the estimated average ratio of the number of all boats returning in a day to the number returning between 11:00 and 13:00. That ratio was estimated to be 3.61 by monitoring all boat traffic at North Point Marina on 4 days in 2010. Angler-trips were then estimated as the total number of boats launched for the day multiplied by the average number of anglers per boat (2.35, based on data from 2001 - 2010). Angler-hours were taken as angler-trips multiplied by the yearly average number of hours per angling trip by boaters (5.11, based on data from 2001 - 2010). (5) Harvest was determined for each species as harvest per angler-hour multiplied by angler-hours, and (6) expenditures were determined for each category as expenditures per angler-trip multiplied by angler-trips.

### **Expansion of daily estimates**

The formula given by Cochran (1977) for stratified random samples was employed to expand the daily estimates to form seasonal area-specific estimates of effort, harvest, and expenditures.

Seasonal averages of harvest per angler-hour were obtained for each primary fishing area by taking unweighted averages of daily values. In these calculations, seasonal averages for yellow perch included only data from anglers who were fishing for perch, and seasonal averages for salmonids included only data from anglers who were fishing for salmonids. Anglers who did not specify what they were fishing for were excluded from these calculations.

### **Extrapolation to other areas**

Extrapolations of seasonal estimates from primary fishing areas to other areas were based on the distributions of pedestrian anglers and boat trailers (Table 2). The distribution of boat trailers was assumed to reflect the distribution of launched-boat anglers. In the extrapolations, harvest, effort, and expenditures at areas not visited were estimated by extension of estimates for the nearest primary fishing areas. Thus, for pedestrian anglers, estimates for Waukegan Harbor were extended to all other areas (except North Point Marina) north of and including Wilmette Harbor; estimates for Montrose Harbor were extended to all remaining areas north of Belmont Harbor; estimates for Belmont Harbor were extended to all remaining areas north of the Monroe Street breakwalls; estimates for Jackson Park were extended to all areas south of Monroe Street except for Calumet Park. For launched boats, estimates for Waukegan Harbor were extended to all launch ramps north of Wilmette (including the "other" areas listed in Table 2); estimates

for Diversey were extended to Dawes Park; and results for Calumet Park were extended to the ramps at Jackson Park and Burnham Harbor.

### **Moored boats**

Estimates of effort, harvest, and expenditures by anglers using moored boats were extrapolated from calculations for launched boats. First, the ratios of moored fishing boats to launched fishing boats for North Point Marina, and Diversey Harbor were estimated. On five dates during the spring and summer of 2010 counts were made of the numbers of fishing boats returning to moorings while simultaneous counts were made of the number of fishing boats returning to the launch ramp. Charter boats were excluded from the counts. The ratio of moored to launched boats was 1.22 in North Point Marina and 0.89 in Diversey Harbor. Using these figures, seasonal estimates of effort, harvest, and expenditures by anglers using launched boats at North Point and Diversey harbors were extrapolated to moored boats. Thus, for example, the moored boat harvest at North Point Marina for a given segment was estimated to be the launched boat harvest for that segment multiplied by 1.22. Values so derived for North Point and Diversey harbors were then extrapolated to other moored boats based on the distribution of moored power boats. Estimates for North Point Marina were extrapolated to boats moored in Waukegan Harbor, Wilmette Harbor, and Great Lakes Naval Training Station, and the estimates for Diversey Harbor were extrapolated to all other boats moored in Chicago.

### **Changes in creel survey methods**

Creel survey methods have varied during the past twenty-five years of the creel survey, so comparisons should be made with caution, especially where estimates for anglers using moored boats are concerned.

### **Confidence intervals and bias**

Estimates of harvest, effort, and expenditures are presented without confidence intervals. Confidence intervals presented without estimates of bias are meaningful only if bias is assumed to be negligible, an assumption that we are not willing to make. Although we have collected and will continue to collect data with which to partially assess biases, we are presently unable to make such assessments.

### **Yield values**

Here the term yield value means the hypothetical market price of the sport fish harvest. The market prices of fillets were used. The estimated harvest for each species was multiplied by the average individual weight of fish weighed in our survey. That estimated harvested round weight was then multiplied by a factor to estimate the harvested market weight. For fillets, the factor was 0.40 because approximately 60% of the fish is wasted in the filleting process. Total harvested marketable weight was then multiplied by approximate market prices (prices observed on the Internet by W.A. Brofka).

### **Missing data**

On some dates creel clerks were unable to complete their assigned interviews. When data were missing from some but not all of the assigned dates in a stratum, estimates for the stratum were based only on data from the completed dates. In these cases, the sample size was smaller than for strata where all interview sets were completed and the estimates were not as precise as estimates derived from full data sets.

### **Alternate sites/ altered sites**

Sometimes, because of unforeseen circumstances (i.e. construction) a primary site may be closed or less accessible during part or all of a sampling season. The fishing pier at North Point Marina was not put in place until the last week of April.

## **RESULTS**

All estimates derived in this survey are given here without qualification; for simplicity of expression, the word "approximately" is not repeated with each estimated value. Estimates are rounded in the following paragraphs.

Total fishing effort in the Illinois portion of Lake Michigan during the study period was 525,000 angler-hours. Anglers harvested 201,900 yellow perch, 30,900 coho salmon, 8,200 chinook salmon, 3,000 rainbow trout, 2,600 brown trout and 1,000 lake trout. Expenditures for boats, motors, trailers, fishing gear, and automobile gas used on Lake Michigan fishing trips during the study period were over \$13.8 million. The yield value of the Illinois sport fishing harvest was nearly \$1.55 million.

Detailed results for 2010 are presented in Tables 4 - 10. Tables 4 and 5 list seasonal harvest and effort (angler hours) estimates for anglers. Tables 6 and 7 present effort and harvest for each month. Table 8 provides yield values. Table 9 lists fin clip abbreviations; fin clips observed by our creel clerks are listed in Table 10, with the number of occurrences of each clip or clip combination listed by species. Table 10 can assist in determining the contributions of different stockings of fish to the sport fishery in the Illinois portion of Lake Michigan.

Tables 11 and 12 report angler trips and expenditures among angler types and among years. Tables 13 and 14 compare angler hours and harvest by fish species between angler types and for each year. Table 15 compare minor fish species harvest for each year.

### **Pedestrian fishing**

From April 1 - September 30, 2010, pedestrian anglers made over 61,000 trips to Lake Michigan (Table 11) and spent over 231,000 hours fishing (Table 4). Yellow perch was the predominant species in the harvest, with a harvest of nearly 94,000 fish (Table 4). Coho salmon were the next most important species for pedestrian anglers, with a



harvest of over 4,700 (Table 4). Pedestrian anglers spent \$502,000 (\$8.19 per trip) for fishing gear and \$104,000 (\$1.70 per trip) for automobile gas (Table 11).

### **Boat fishing**

Anglers who used boats made over 55,000 trips to Lake Michigan (Table 11) and spent nearly 294,000 hours fishing (Table 4). The most abundant species in their harvest were yellow perch (107,900), coho salmon (26,100), chinook salmon (6,500), rainbow trout (2,600), and brown trout (2,000) (Table 4). For salmonids, North Point Marina was the most productive of the four primary boat areas, accounting for 37% of the lake trout, brown trout, rainbow trout, chinook salmon, and coho salmon taken by anglers who used boats (Table 4). Waukegan Harbor accounted for 30.4% of the yellow perch harvested by boat anglers (Table 4). Expenditures by anglers using boats were \$8,331,000 (\$238 per trip), with 92% of that amount going for boats, motors, and trailers (Table 11).

### **Yield values**

The estimated yield values of the three most commonly harvested sport species were \$512,000 for coho salmon, \$455,000 for chinook salmon, and \$451,000 for yellow perch (Table 8). Currently, none of the species listed in Table 8 are commercially available from Lake Michigan except yellow perch from the Wisconsin portion of Green Bay. The values of all species are derived from the retail prices of those species commercially harvested or raised in other waters.

### **Comparisons with preceding years**

Total angler fishing effort in 2010 decreased by 3.3% compared to 2009 (Table 13). Pedestrian effort decreased by 29.0%, boat effort increased by 35.3% compared to 2009 (Table 13). Angler success for salmonids (number of fish per angler hour) increased compared to 2009 (Figure 2a). Angler success for yellow perch decreased compared to 2009 (Figure 2b).

The yellow perch harvest of 201,900 represented a decrease of 23.4% compared to the 2009 harvest (Table 13 and Figure 4). The average weight of yellow perch kept by anglers decreased to 227g (0.50 lb.) (Table 8). The average length decreased to 260 mm (Figure 5). Perch fishing off Waukegan was good for boat anglers in April, very poor in June, and poor in August. Boat and pedestrian anglers in Chicago did fair in both June and August (Tables 6 and 7, Figure 6).

The 2010 harvest of coho salmon increased by 74.1% compared to 2009 (Table 13 and Figure 7). Weight 1,584 g (3.47 lb.) of creel coho salmon decreased 11.5% and length (546 mm) decreased 2.3% compared 2009 (Table 8 and Figure 8). 42% of the harvest occurred in April (Tables 6 and 7).

The chinook salmon harvest increased to 8,223 fish for 2010, an increase of 19% compared to 2009 (Table 13 and Figure 9). Average length was 674 mm, a decrease of 4.8% compared to 2009 and the average weight decreased

15.5% compared to 2009 at 3,308 g (7.30 lb.), (Table 8 and Figure 10). 76.6% of chinook salmon harvest occurred in July, August and September (Tables 6 and 7).

The 2010 harvest of lake trout was 958, an increase of 39% compared to 2009, (Table 13). The average weight increased by 12% but the average length decreased by 1.4% compared to 2009 (Table 8). 83.2% of the harvest occurred in July, August and September (Tables 6 and 7).

The 2010 brown trout harvest (2,602) increased 62.8% compared to 2009 (Table 13). The average length (552 mm) decreased by 2.8% compared to 2009 and the average weight of 2,243 g (4.91 lb.) decreased by 9.5% (Table 8). The peak of the harvest (87.5%) occurred in April (Tables 6 and 7).

The 2010 rainbow trout harvest (2,975) increased by 74.7% compared to 2009 (Table 13). The average length (646mm) and weight 2,490 g (5.52 lb.) decreased 3.3% and 12.8% respectively compared to creeled rainbow trout from 2009 (Table 8).

Estimated expenditures for boats, motors, and trailers increased by 60.5% compared to 2009 (Table 11). Minor expenditures decreased by 8.3% and other expenditures decreased by 2.8%.

The 2010 early spring survey saw increases in angler effort, brown trout, coho salmon and rainbow trout harvest and decreases in yellow perch compared to 2009. Angler effort increased 19% compared to 2009. Harvest of coho salmon increased 47% , brown trout harvest increased 488% and rainbow trout increased 14%. Yellow perch harvest decreased by 12%; ost of the yellow perch were caught by boat anglers in the Calumet River, though some were also caught by boat anglers out of Waukegan (Table14).

### **Minor species**

In addition to the species for which results are presented in detail in Tables 4 - 14, creel clerks reported several other species of fish in possession of anglers (Table 15). For some species, an estimate has been made of the total number of fish harvested and numbers caught (numbers in parentheses). For other species, because so few fish were observed just the actual number observed is reported. Most of the minor species were harvested in or near the harbors. **Rock bass**, 1,938 (11,055); **bluegill sunfish**, 402 (1,022); **common carp**, 8 (237); **freshwater drum**, 1,768 (2,292) ; **smallmouth bass**, 51 (8,093); **largemouth bass**, 0 (942); **black bullhead**, 1 fish observed; **lake whitefish** 1 fish observed; anglers also harvested **alewives** for use as bait and caught **round gobies** (some were retained for food, most were not retained).

## **DISCUSSION**

### **Changes in the fishery and the creel survey in 2010**

Continued improvements were made to the database and analysis software. Because of low levels of effort over the past ten years the Central Chicago Cluster (Burnham Harbor pedestrians, McCormick Place pedestrians and Burnham Harbor launched boats) was discontinued. The analysis and reporting of the data have been reformatted to a monthly versus the segment format of the previous reports. The nine previous years of data have also been reformatted.

### **Angler effort**

Total angler fishing effort in 2010 decreased for pedestrian but increased for boat anglers compared to 2009. Effort increased 35.3% for boats, but decreased 29% for pedestrians.

### **Yellow perch**

Annual yellow perch harvests in Illinois were well over one million fish each year from 1986 through 1993 with the exception of 1989. Beginning in 1994 however, harvest fell to fewer than 600,000 and by 1997 fell to well under 60,000 (Brofka and Dettmers, 1997). 2001 saw increased harvest (169,967) due to the combination of the repeal of the slot limit and moving the month closure to July. The annual harvests from 2002 through 2009 saw a general increasing trend to around 300,000. The 2001-2010 average harvest was 240,800. 2010 saw a decrease in both effort and harvest for pedestrian anglers and an increase in effort but a decrease in harvest for boat anglers. Harvest fell 36.5% for pedestrian anglers and 6.7% for boat anglers. Overall effort directed at yellow perch decreased 19.8% and HPE (harvest per angler effort expressed in fish per angler hour) decreased 4.5% to 0.85 yellow perch per angler hour.

### **Coho salmon**

Coho salmon have been the main component of both the boat and pedestrian salmonid fishery. In the boat fishery, coho salmon make up 60 to 70% of the salmonids harvested in a typical year. 2010 was a typical year with coho salmon accounting for 67% of salmonids harvested by the non-charter fishery. The 2010 harvest of over 30,800 coho salmon was a 74% increase compared to 2009. Mean weight of harvested coho salmon during 2010 was 1,575 g which was 4% heavier than the twenty-five year mean.

### **Other salmonids**

Coho salmon harvest has traditionally been concentrated in the spring and early to mid-summer. Other salmonids, especially lake trout and chinook salmon, make up the majority of the harvest from mid-summer through the fall. The lake trout harvest was stable from 1991 through 1997 with the exception of 1996. The lake trout harvest in 1998 was exceptional, the highest that this survey has ever seen at 12,000. 1999 and 2000 saw harvest return to the

low level recorded in 1996. The past ten years has seen an average harvest of 1,700 fish. The harvest in 2006 (653) was the lowest ever observed by this survey. In 2010 the harvest increased to 958 fish.

The chinook fishery before 1988 was the mainstay of the summer/fall salmonid fishery. Chinook salmon are highly prized because they can attain a very large size and are extremely powerful fighters. Bacterial kidney disease (BKD) was blamed for die offs of chinook salmon beginning in 1988. The harvest bottomed out in 1994 with 2,900 chinook taken. Chinook salmon are now closely monitored in the hatchery and in the wild for BKD (Clark, 1996). Since 2001, the ten year average harvest (2001-2010) of chinook salmon has been around 10,700 fish. Harvest in 2010 increased by 19% (8,222) compared to 2009. Mean weight decreased by 15% to 3,314g (7.30 lbs) compared to 2009.

Brown trout are an important component of the spring salmonid fishery with a ten year average harvest (2001-2010) of 2,500 fish annually. Pedestrian angling normally accounts for 70% of those fish. The 2010 harvest of 2,600 browns was an increase of 62% from the 2009 harvest. The mean weight decreased to 2,229 g (4.91 lbs).

Rainbow trout are a component of the spring and summer fishery. Some mature fish are caught in the spring by pedestrian anglers, but the majority of the fish are caught by the boat fishery. The average annual harvest for the past ten years has been 3,200. 1998 saw the highest harvest of rainbow trout at 11,500. 2010 saw an increase of nearly 75% compared to 2009 with a harvest of nearly 3,000 fish. The mean weight decreased to 2,506 g (5.52 lbs) in 2010.

### **Minor species**

Certain species that have been present in the areas surveyed since the survey began have grown in prominence. Black bass (smallmouth and largemouth bass) inhabiting the harbors and shoreline of the Illinois portion of Lake Michigan have increasingly been the focus of bass anglers nationwide, as indicated by the national B.A.S.S. tournament based at Burnham Harbor July 19 - 23, 2000. Common carp and freshwater drum are being targeted both by anglers fishing for food and catch and release anglers using European carp tournament fishing techniques. Panfish other than yellow perch are being targeted or kept incidentally by pedestrian anglers, with rock bass being the most numerous; the average harvest for the past ten years has been 4% of the average annual yellow perch harvest. 2% of total angling effort was directed at minor species in 2010.

### **Expenditures**

2010 saw an increase in major expenditures and a decrease in minor and other expenditures compared to 2009. Major expenditures (boat, motor and trailers) increased 60%. Minor expenditures (tackle, bait, downriggers, etc.) decreased 8% and other expenditures (mileage) decreased 3%.

### **Early spring (March) survey**

The March survey is heavily influenced by the weather in March and the severity of the winter preceding March. 1999 saw a generally mild winter which kept ice formation to a minimum and a powerful storm early (second week). 2000 saw a very mild winter and a relatively calm March. 2003 the shore line and harbors were locked in ice for the first three weeks. 2004 was a marked improvement over 2003 with increases in all categories except lake trout and chinook salmon (which remained the same at zero harvested). 2005 saw a decline in all categories. 2006 was similar to 2005. 2007 saw the harbors still frozen at the beginning of March. 2008 survey was similar to 2007 except that the harbors remained frozen longer. Ice again was a problem in 2009, especially at the Lake County group but the ice had cleared earlier from the Calumet ramp allowing boat access to the Calumet River where excellent yellow perch fishing occurred. Both ramps were clear at the beginning of the 2010 survey though there was some ice early at Montrose Harbor and drifting ice on the lake occasionally caused problems. Lake trout were observed for the first time in this survey. Of the last ten years of March surveys, 2010 would rank fourth in effort, first in lake trout, second in yellow perch, fourth in rainbow trout, and seventh in both in brown trout and coho salmon.

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We thank Dan Makauskas and Steve Robillard for their coordination, advice and review of this report; Martha Kneuer for administrative tasks; Zac Blevins, Kari Bogner, Andrew Schaefer, Jeanine Tolemy, Kyle Weatherman and Ashley Witt for their long hours collecting data; David Glover for overhauling the database and analysis software, and Nan Trudeau for assisting in supervising the survey, collecting and entering data.

### **REFERENCES**

- Brofka, W.A., and S.J. Czesny. 2010. A survey of sport fishing in the Illinois portion of Lake Michigan - March through September, 2009. INHS Technical Report 2010(45). Illinois Natural History Survey, Champaign, Illinois, 32pp.
- Brofka, W.A., and J.M. Dettmers. 2006. A survey of sport fishing in the Illinois portion of Lake Michigan - March through September, 2005. Aquatic Ecology Technical Report 2006/04. Illinois Natural History Survey, Champaign, Illinois, 58pp.
- Brofka, W.A., and J.E. Marsden. 1997. A survey of sport fishing in the Illinois portion of Lake Michigan - April through September, 1996. Aquatic Ecology Technical Report 97/7. Illinois Natural History Survey, Champaign, Illinois, 51pp.

Clark, R. 1996. Status of chinook salmon in the upper Great Lakes. Lake Michigan Committee, 1996 Annual Meeting, Great Lakes Fisheries Commission. p. 153 - 160.

Cochran, W.G. 1977. Sampling techniques, 3rd ed. John Wiley and Sons, New York. 428 pp.

Malvestuto, S.P. 1996. Sampling the recreational creel. Pages 591-624 in B. R. Murphy and D. W. Willis, eds., Fisheries Techniques Second Edition. American Fisheries Society. Bethesda, Maryland. 1996.

Muench, B. 1981. 1979 sport fishing creel survey on the Illinois portion of Lake Michigan. Division of Fisheries, Illinois Department of Conservation (mimeo). 25 p.

Table 4. Effort (anglers-hours) and harvest (by species) by non-charter anglers in the Illinois portion of Lake Michigan during April-September, 2010. Wau. = Waukegan , Peds = Pedestrian

Type of angler	Area	Effort		Harvest						
		Total hours	Target perch	Target salmon	Yellow perch	Brown trout	Rainbow trout	Lake trout	Coho salmon	Chinook salmon
<b>Peds</b>	North Point	3,893	2,767	213	2,505	28	0	0	0	0
	Wau. Harbor	29,596	7,490	20,130	1,648	247	0	0	825	360
	Montrose	122,361	80,461	32,556	60,887	170	61	0	2,812	192
	Belmont	14,267	9,167	3,712	11,622	50	0	0	161	102
	Jackson	12,474	5,215	5,666	3,045	11	102	0	155	308
	Calumet	2,573	363	1,022	0	12	0	0	0	0
	others	45,957	20,562	20,453	14,279	112	221	0	750	795
	<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>231,121</b>	<b>126,025</b>	<b>83,752</b>	<b>93,986</b>	<b>630</b>	<b>384</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4,712</b>	<b>1,755</b>
<b>Boat</b>	North Point	68,812	5,519	62,539	4,687	23	1,449	580	8,527	3,339
	Wau. Harbor	79,608	29,979	49,364	32,807	9	941	196	9,193	2,339
	Diversey	23,165	12,279	8,553	13,975	450	0	39	1,263	62
	Calumet	22,437	11,816	3,205	6,162	135	10	0	995	54
	others	99,863	50,296	36,355	50,296	1,357	191	144	6,164	673
	<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>293,884</b>	<b>112,319</b>	<b>160,945</b>	<b>107,928</b>	<b>1,973</b>	<b>2,591</b>	<b>958</b>	<b>26,143</b>	<b>6,467</b>
<b>Summer</b>	<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>525,005</b>	<b>238,344</b>	<b>244,697</b>	<b>201,914</b>	<b>2,603</b>	<b>2,975</b>	<b>958</b>	<b>30,855</b>	<b>8,222</b>

Table 5. Effort (anglers-hours) and harvest (by species) by non-charter anglers at selected sites along the Illinois portion of Lake Michigan during March, 2010. Wau. = Waukegan, Cal. = Calumet, Peds = Pedestrian

Location	Effort		Harvest						
	Total hours	Target perch	Target salmon	Yellow perch	Brown trout	Rainbow trout	Lake trout	Coho salmon	Chinook salmon
Wau. Harbor	2,504	0	2,504	0	145	27	0	0	0
Wau. Ramp	3,608	2,667	941	225	205	0	0	29	0
Montrose	4,896	145	4,712	0	331	70	0	64	0
Cal. Park Peds	1,241	107	1,034	0	73	0	0	11	0
Cal. Park Ramp	7,299	5,984	1,275	16,703	246	0	206	84	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>19,548</b>	<b>8,903</b>	<b>10,466</b>	<b>16,928</b>	<b>1,000</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>206</b>	<b>188</b>	<b>0</b>

Table 6. Effort and harvest for each month by pedestrian anglers of the Illinois portion of Lake Michigan during April-September, 2010. Wau. = Waukegan

Time Period	Area	Effort		Harvest						
		Total hours	Target perch	Target salmon	Yellow perch	Brown trout	Rainbow trout	Lake trout	Coho salmon	Chinook salmon
April	North Point	25	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Wau. Harbor	4,025	0	3,868	0	151	0	0	410	0
	Montrose	19,126	1,946	16,975	725	104	0	0	2,351	0
	Belmont	2,013	199	1,581	0	50	0	0	151	0
	Jackson	1,487	0	1,442	0	11	0	0	30	0
	Calumet	1,194	150	836	0	12	0	0	0	0
	others	6,039	162	5,635	39	85	0	0	347	0
May	North Point	337	213	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Wau. Harbor	2,661	655	1,839	0	0	0	0	97	0
	Montrose	27,288	17,880	7,659	14,245	66	25	0	325	47
	Belmont	2,363	2,210	25	2,320	0	0	0	0	0
	Jackson	1,301	1,017	168	880	0	0	0	0	0
	Calumet	487	124	48	0	0	0	0	0	0
	others	5,791	4,099	1,251	3,459	4	1	0	42	3
June	North Point	545	388	0	275	28	0	0	0	0
	Wau. Harbor	3,364	2,632	185	754	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	39,142	37,593	167	27,587	0	0	0	0	0
	Belmont	4,548	4,548	0	6,888	0	0	0	0	0
	Jackson	2,588	2,178	0	1,190	0	0	0	0	0
	Calumet	308	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	others	10,106	8,974	47	6,639	0	79	0	0	0
July	North Point	415	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Wau. Harbor	3,059	322	1,989	18	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	7,368	2,886	439	2,173	0	0	0	0	0
	Belmont	1,093	189	47	12	0	0	0	0	0
	Jackson	842	313	60	27	0	0	0	13	0
	Calumet	293	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	others	3,380	983	657	184	0	0	0	28	0
August	North Point	2,255	2,121	81	2,230	0	0	0	0	0
	Wau. Harbor	4,950	3,636	1,088	789	0	0	0	0	10
	Montrose	20,602	18,691	615	15,799	0	36	0	0	0
	Belmont	2,695	1,963	716	2,210	0	0	0	0	39
	Jackson	2,347	1,550	344	703	0	21	0	0	0
	Calumet	148	48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	others	8,332	5,874	1,362	3,327	0	47	0	0	16
September	North Point	316	20	132	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Wau. Harbor	11,536	244	11,162	88	95	0	0	318	350
	Montrose	8,836	1,465	6,702	359	0	0	0	147	144
	Belmont	1,556	57	1,343	192	0	0	0	9	63
	Jackson	3,910	157	3,651	244	0	44	0	113	308
	Calumet	143	5	138	0	0	0	0	0	0
	others	12,307	470	11,502	630	24	95	0	332	630

Table 7. Effort and harvest by anglers using boats of the Illinois portion of Lake Michigan during April-September, 2010.

Time period	Area	Effort		Harvest						
		Total hours	Target perch	Target salmon	Yellow perch	Brown trout	Rainbow trout	Lake trout	Coho salmon	Chinook salmon
April	North Point	6,255	4,415	2,677	3,111	0	16	0	567	20
	Waukegan	27,493	22,983	4,510	27,246	0	0	0	3,031	66
	Diversey	3,462	0	3,462	0	444	0	0	1,153	23
	Calumet	5,496	1,496	2,573	342	107	0	0	654	25
	others	19,973	4,059	12,810	5,586	1,313	0	0	4,349	98
May	North Point	14,140	2,049	12,090	1,576	12	98	51	5,138	359
	Waukegan	18,057	3,393	14,663	5,552	0	161	0	4,953	163
	Diversey	4,211	2,710	701	588	0	0	0	64	17
	Calumet	4,175	1,988	530	429	27	10	0	47	6
	others	18,937	10,130	4,839	1,674	91	40	0	1,177	84
June	North Point	14,390	0	14,156	0	0	282	110	1,838	726
	Waukegan	7,988	202	7,786	0	0	121	0	443	406
	Diversey	7,772	6,005	1,357	4,559	0	0	0	38	0
	Calumet	5,423	4,488	102	3,351	0	0	0	295	23
	others	27,891	20,624	5,304	3,081	0	24	0	468	100
July	North Point	14,857	0	14,767	0	0	386	135	544	1,241
	Waukegan	9,065	0	8,801	0	0	113	72	441	837
	Diversey	1,060	0	818	0	6	0	17	8	0
	Calumet	3,327	1,501	0	402	0	0	0	0	0
	others	7,803	1,434	3,781	382	16	22	58	107	162
August	North Point	9,719	55	9,664	0	0	634	48	322	801
	Waukegan	11,488	3,186	8,302	8	8	417	124	249	699
	Diversey	4,540	2,982	677	8,720	0	0	23	0	23
	Calumet	2,221	1,181	0	1,098	0	0	0	0	0
	others	16,708	9,748	3,655	24,821	2	81	86	48	197
September	North Point	9,451	0	9,185	0	12	34	235	117	191
	Waukegan	5,517	215	5,301	0	0	129	0	76	167
	Diversey	2,120	582	1,537	109	0	0	0	0	0
	Calumet	1,794	1,162	0	541	0	0	0	0	0
	others	8,551	2,339	5,852	811	0	25	0	15	32



Table 8. Yield values of fish harvested by non-charter sport anglers in the Illinois waters of Lake Michigan during April - September 2010. All fish are assumed to be prepared as fillets with 60% waste. Prices for all except brown trout (used lake trout value) are those current in national markets in February, 2011.

Species	Total harvest	Av. wt (lbs)	Round wt (lbs)	Market wt (lbs)	Price per pound	Yield value
Yellow perch	201,914	0.50	100,957	40,383	\$11.16	\$450,674
Brown trout	2,603	4.91	12,781	5,112	\$9.04	\$46,212
Rainbow trout	2,975	5.52	16,422	6,569	\$8.90	\$58,462
Lake trout	958	7.78	7,453	2,981	\$9.04	\$26,951
Coho salmon	30,855	3.47	107,067	42,827	\$11.95	\$511,783
Chinook salmon	8,222	7.30	60,021	24,008	\$18.95	\$454,956

Combined yield value of all species: \$1,549,038

Table 9. Fin clip abbreviations.

Name of fin or bone	Abbreviation
Adipose fin	ad
Dorsal fin	do
Left maxillary bone	lm
Right maxillary bone	rm
Left pectoral fin	lp
Right pectoral fin	rp
Left ventral fin	lv
Right ventral fin	rv

Table 10. Fin clip summary for salmonids harvested by non-charter anglers in the Illinois waters of Lake Michigan during 2010. Typically, only a portion of the salmonids stocked each year are marked. However, all lake trout stocked are clipped. Lake trout examined by clerks which exhibit no fin clips are one of four possibilities: 1. the lake trout is naturally produced (wild). 2. the lake trout failed to receive a fin clip in the hatchery. 3. the lake trout regenerated the missing fin or fins. 4. the clerk did not examine the lake trout thoroughly enough and missed the clip or clips.

Species					
Clip	Brown trout	Rainbow trout	Lake trout	Coho salmon	Chinook salmon
ad	0	2	2	1	1
ad,lp	6	0	0	0	0
ad,rp	0	2	0	0	0
ad,lv	4	0	0	0	0
do,lp	0	1	0	0	0
lm	0	0	0	1	0
lp	3	0	0	3	0
lp,rp	2	0	0	0	0
lp,rv	0	0	1	0	0
rp	3	4	3	0	0
rp,lv	0	1	0	0	0
lv	0	0	0	1	0
rv	0	2	0	0	0
no	47	54	15	379	220

Table 11. Estimated number of angler trips and expenditures by non-charter anglers in the Illinois portion of Lake Michigan, during 2001 - 2010. NA = not applicable.

Type of angler	Year	Effort	Expenditures		
		(angler-trips)	Major (boat)	Minor (gear)	Other (travel)
Pedestrians	2001	70,356	NA	\$526,000	\$111,000
	2002	63,690	NA	\$624,000	\$107,000
	2003	69,578	NA	\$747,000	\$117,000
	2004	79,062	NA	\$882,000	\$136,000
	2005	85,449	NA	\$574,000	\$153,000
	2006	74,719	NA	\$973,000	\$124,000
	2007	75,041	NA	\$477,000	\$129,000
	2008	83,841	NA	\$1,128,000	\$144,000
	2009	90,555	NA	\$900,000	\$165,000
	2010	61,303	NA	\$502,000	\$104,000
Boats	2001	49,184	\$7,424,000	\$817,000	\$166,000
	2002	45,745	\$5,270,000	\$734,000	\$173,000
	2003	50,306	\$6,550,000	\$828,000	\$197,000
	2004	42,205	\$11,663,000	\$1,140,000	\$156,000
	2005	37,582	\$7,386,000	\$636,000	\$139,000
	2006	52,277	\$12,293,000	\$2,116,000	\$174,000
	2007	42,034	\$6,914,000	\$600,000	\$104,000
	2008	47,636	\$2,949,000	\$1,469,000	\$136,000
	2009	41,349	\$7,584,000	\$624,000	\$123,000
	2010	55,701	\$12,171,000	\$895,000	\$176,000
Season Totals	2001	119,540	\$7,424,000	\$1,343,000	\$277,000
	2002	109,435	\$5,270,000	\$1,358,000	\$280,000
	2003	119,884	\$6,550,000	\$1,576,000	\$313,000
	2004	121,267	\$11,633,000	\$2,022,000	\$292,000
	2005	123,031	\$7,386,000	\$1,210,000	\$292,000
	2006	126,996	\$12,293,000	\$3,089,000	\$298,000
	2007	117,075	\$6,914,000	\$1,077,000	\$233,000
	2008	131,477	\$2,949,000	\$2,597,000	\$280,000
	2009	131,904	\$7,584,000	\$1,524,000	\$288,000
	2010	117,004	\$12,171,000	\$1,397,000	\$280,000

Table 12. March fishing effort and expenditures by non-charter anglers at selected sites in the Illinois portion of Lake Michigan, during 1999 – 2000 and 2003 - 2010. NA = not applicable

Type of angler	Year	Effort	Expenditures		
		(angler-trips)	Major (boat)	Minor (gear)	Other (travel)
Pedestrians	1999	5,100	NA	\$72,000	\$12,000
	2000	7,538	NA	\$90,000	\$20,000
	2003	1,987	NA	\$24,000	\$4,000
	2004	4,231	NA	\$94,000	\$8,000
	2005	2,652	NA	\$49,000	\$6,000
	2006	3,378	NA	\$38,000	\$7,000
	2007	2,812	NA	\$26,000	\$5,000
	2008	1,656	NA	\$33,000	\$3,000
	2009	1,750	NA	\$42,500	\$4,000
	2010	2,292	NA	\$51,400	\$5,100
Launched Boats	1999	665	\$118,000	\$69,000	\$2,000
	2000	745	\$313,000	\$48,000	\$2,000
	2003	356	\$0	\$1,000	\$700
	2004	787	\$0	\$36,000	\$2,000
	2005	566	\$0	\$19,000	\$1,300
	2006	594	\$0	\$33,000	\$1,200
	2007	835	\$0	\$36,000	\$800
	2008	605	\$0	\$37,000	\$900
	2009	1,925	\$514,000	\$61,000	\$5,000
	2010	2,067	\$993,000	\$83,000	\$5,500
March Totals	1999	5,765	\$118,000	\$141,000	\$14,000
	2000	8,283	\$313,000	\$138,000	\$22,000
	2003	2,343	\$0	\$25,000	\$5,000
	2004	5,017	\$0	\$130,000	\$10,000
	2005	3,218	\$0	\$68,000	\$7,600
	2006	3,972	\$0	\$71,000	\$8,200
	2007	3,647	\$0	\$62,000	\$5,800
	2008	2,261	\$0	\$70,000	\$3,700
	2009	3,675	\$514,000	\$103,000	\$9,000
	2010	4,359	\$993,000	\$135,000	\$10,600

Table 13. Fishing effort and harvest by non-charter anglers in the Illinois portion of Lake Michigan, in 2001 - 2010.  
Peds = Pedestrian anglers, Boat = Boat anglers.

Angler type	Year	Effort	Harvest					
		(angler-hours)	Yellow perch	Brown trout	Rainbow trout	Lake trout	Coho salmon	Chinook salmon
Peds	2001	255,540	145,656	664	389	66	4,546	2,240
	2002	232,392	142,218	4,016	171	0	10,565	713
	2003	251,773	139,234	1,181	309	0	4,713	1,122
	2004	287,683	169,212	1,474	436	16	4,301	2,790
	2005	307,076	275,632	1,294	250	0	2,211	2,459
	2006	276,536	188,535	692	304	0	348	2,734
	2007	251,912	216,437	1,110	311	34	491	2,543
	2008	284,555	144,144	1,854	395	0	2,179	2,313
	2009	325,802	147,941	745	507	0	2,366	2,922
	2010	231,121	93,986	630	384	0	4,712	1,755
Boat	2001	248,454	24,311	812	6,107	4,603	42,225	5,389
	2002	228,909	22,734	804	3,920	3,034	43,749	7,836
	2003	246,897	31,822	223	2,931	1,969	24,836	10,047
	2004	210,989	42,536	663	2,420	1,628	23,906	10,792
	2005	188,564	27,412	1,095	3,000	1,286	19,035	11,856
	2006	260,217	128,173	2,203	2,651	663	18,286	11,984
	2007	221,692	71,166	638	2,145	849	29,808	8,617
	2008	261,825	173,285	2,594	1,895	1,662	13,799	8,637
	2009	217,193	115,601	854	1,206	689	15,361	3,985
	2010	293,884	107,928	1,973	2,591	958	26,143	6,467
Season	2001	503,994	169,967	1,476	6,496	4,669	46,771	7,629
	2002	461,301	164,952	4,820	4,091	3,034	54,314	8,549
	2003	498,670	171,056	1,404	3,240	1,969	29,549	11,169
	2004	498,672	211,748	2,137	2,856	1,644	28,207	13,582
	2005	495,640	303,044	2,389	3,250	1,286	21,246	14,315
	2006	536,753	316,708	2,895	2,955	663	18,634	14,718
	2007	473,604	287,603	1,748	2,456	883	30,299	11,159
	2008	546,380	317,429	4,447	2,289	1,660	15,979	10,950
	2009	542,995	263,542	1,599	1,713	689	17,727	6,907
	2010	525,005	201,914	2,603	2,975	958	30,855	8,222


Table 14. March fishing effort and harvest by non-charter anglers at selected sites in the Illinois portion of Lake Michigan, in 1999 – 2000 and 2003 - 2010. Peds = Pedestrian, Lau'd = Launched boat anglers

Angler type	Year	Effort	Harvest					
		(angler-hours)	Yellow perch	Brown trout	Rainbow trout	Lake trout	Coho salmon	Chinook salmon
Peds	1999	23,202	0	1,709	189	0	913	0
	2000	34,366	364	3,712	375	0	8,036	0
	2003	9,136	0	175	22	0	15	0
	2004	18,848	170	1,396	360	0	469	0
	2005	11,244	492	762	85	0	173	0
	2006	11,560	0	1,467	65	0	259	0
	2007	9,819	373	764	0	0	386	0
	2008	5,940	261	347	52	0	797	0
	2009	6,296	108	160	85	0	84	0
	2010	8,642	0	549	97	0	65	0
Lau'd	1999	3,131	0	82	16	0	80	0
	2000	3,699	412	376	42	0	2,242	7
	2003	1,780	4,145	10	0	0	0	0
	2004	3,935	9,464	198	9	0	88	0
	2005	2,830	5,308	346	0	0	111	0
	2006	3,199	4,456	478	0	0	182	0
	2007	4,199	10,165	382	9	0	98	0
	2008	3,117	1,024	81	0	0	0	0
	2009	10,109	19,214	10	0	0	37	0
	2010	10,907	16,928	451	0	206	113	0
March	1999	26,333	0	1,791	205	0	993	0
Totals	2000	38,065	776	4,088	417	0	10,278	7
	2003	10,916	4,145	185	22	0	15	0
	2004	22,783	9,634	1,594	369	0	557	0
	2005	14,074	5,800	1,108	85	0	284	0
	2006	14,759	4,456	1,945	65	0	441	0
	2007	14,018	10,538	1,146	9	0	484	0
	2008	9,057	1,285	428	52	0	797	0
	2009	16,405	19,322	170	85	0	121	0
	2010	19,549	16,928	1,000	97	206	178	0


Table 15. Minor species harvest by non-charter anglers in the Illinois portion of Lake Michigan, in 2001 - 2010.

Year	Smallmouth bass	Largemouth bass	Rock bass	Bluegill sunfish	Pumpkinseed sunfish	Common carp	Freshwater drum
2001	40	0	23,269	1,513	842	3,326	2,362
2002	0	0	10,507	1,230	220	480	1,066
2003	283	0	7,067	514	1,046	193	3,195
2004	0	0	11,003	3,634	1,143	85	1,160
2005	124	18	9,512	848	601	268	3,921
2006	46	97	6,697	550	28	147	2,990
2007	252	49	10,650	269	20	154	1,965
2008	80	45	7,561	405	0	43	2,033
2009	76	0	3,934	298	0	240	1,482
2010	51	0	1,938	402	9	8	1,768


**Figure 2 (a). Salmonid harvest per unit effort, derived from the Illinois sport fishing surveys of Lake Michigan, 2001-2010**




**Figure 2 (b). Yellow perch harvest per unit effort, derived from Illinois sport fishing surveys of Lake Michigan, 2001-2010**



**Figure 3 (a). Directed angler effort for salmonids in the Illinois portion of Lake Michigan, 2001-2010**




**Figure 3 (b). Directed angler effort for yellow perch in the Illinois portion of Lake Michigan, 2001-2010**







**Figure 4. Total yellow perch non-charter sport harvest in the Illinois waters of Lake Michigan, 2001-2010**




**Figure 5. Average lengths of creel yellow perch from the Illinois waters of Lake Michigan, 1986 - 2010**




**Figure 6. 2010 yellow perch sport harvest from the Illinois waters of Lake Michigan, per month**




**Figure 7. Total non-charter coho salmon sport harvest in the Illinois waters of Lake Michigan, 2001-2010**




**Figure 8. Average lengths of creeled coho salmon from the Illinois waters of Lake Michigan, 1986 - 2010**



**Figure 9. Total non-charter chinook salmon sport harvest in the Illinois waters of Lake Michigan, 2001-2010**



**Figure 10. Average lengths of creeled chinook salmon from the Illinois waters of Lake Michigan, 1986 - 2010**



## APPENDIX A - COMPARISON OF THE CHARTER AND NON - CHARTER SALMONID BOAT FISHERY

A comparison was done to see if the charter and non - charter boat salmonid fisheries were targeting the same species (Tables A1 and A2). In general they have with similar percents of total harvest for both groups. A comparison of harvest per unit effort is also presented (Figure A1). As can be imagined the charter fishery generally out performed the non - charter boat fishery in all years at a factor of 2 or 3 per angler hour. The combined harvest of both charter and non - charter anglers (boats and pedestrians) for 2001 - 2010 is presented (Figure A2). Harvest from early spring surveys are not included in the total.


Table A1. Non-charter boat harvest composition (boats only) April – September 2001 - 2010.

Year	Effort	Percent of total harvest					
	(angler-hours)	Brown trout	Rainbow trout	Lake trout	Coho salmon	Chinook salmon	Total salmonids
2001	207,991	1.40	10.30	7.80	71.40	9.10	59,136
2002	201,605	1.40	6.60	5.10	73.70	13.20	59,343
2003	199,369	0.60	7.30	4.90	62.10	25.10	40,006
2004	158,290	1.70	6.10	4.10	60.70	27.40	39,409
2005	151,010	3.00	8.30	3.50	52.50	32.70	36,272
2006	174,621	6.20	7.40	1.90	51.10	33.50	35,787
2007	133,974	1.50	5.10	2.00	70.90	20.50	42,057
2008	153,169	9.10	6.60	5.80	48.30	30.20	28,587
2009	116,514	3.90	5.50	3.10	69.50	18.00	22,095
2010	160,945	5.20	6.80	2.50	68.60	17.00	38,132

Table A2. Charter boat harvest composition April – September 2001 - 2010.

Year	Effort	Percent of total harvest					
	(angler-hours)	Brown trout	Rainbow trout	Lake trout	Coho salmon	Chinook salmon	Total salmonids
2001	109,171	0.90	6.40	8.10	75.00	9.50	63,104
2002	117,381	1.50	3.70	4.40	80.50	9.90	86,635
2003	112,068	1.00	4.20	5.00	69.60	20.30	54,471
2004	110,284	1.70	3.30	4.30	62.30	28.40	51,359
2005	114,599	2.40	8.60	4.00	51.20	33.70	58,473
2006	99,698	1.20	5.50	2.50	54.00	36.70	51,753
2007	87,763	2.90	3.20	2.90	66.50	24.60	50,218
2008	91,756	2.90	5.20	4.60	59.40	28.00	41,499
2009	88,221	2.00	6.70	5.30	59.10	26.90	34,349
2010	90,379	1.10	13.90	6.00	53.10	26.00	43,883

**Figure A1. Comparison of charter and non-charter boat salmonid harvest rates for the Illinois portion of Lake Michigan, 2001-2010**



**Figure A2. Illinois Lake Michigan sportfishing harvest (charter & regular combined) 2001 - 2010**

